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A Framework for Research Skills Training, Support and E-supervision for International PhD Students
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UKCISA, July 09
Issues for University

- Increase PGR numbers
- Increase in international students
- Improving PhD completion rates
- Growth of dynamic research culture
- QA issues
- Insularity, lack of preparedness for global markets, need to increase international links
Centre for Applied Childhood Studies

- Increase PGR numbers
- Capacity building - skills and expertise in economically disadvantaged countries
- Research enabling environment
- Increasing global relevance
- Developing Partnerships with international institutions
Issues for international students

- Social and cultural alienation
- Financial Constraints and cost of studying in UK
- Skills and knowledge base differ from host institution and expectations
- Need to undertake field work in home country
- Lack of supervisory expertise in host institution for some topics
Project Aims:

To establish a *Framework for Research Skills Training, Support and E-supervision* for International PhD Students, and to facilitate inter-cultural exchange and knowledge-sharing with UK home students.

Funded by Prime Minister’s Initiative for International Education and School of Human & Health Sciences
Cross-centre collaboration

An initiative of the Centre for Applied Childhood Studies developed and implemented in collaboration with the Centre for Health and Social Care Research and extended to include students attached to all HHS Research Centres.
Skills Training

- Social cohesion activities
- Financial Support
- Peer coaching
- Accommodation
- Electronic Discussion Board
- Language Support
- Mentoring
- Cultural Exchange
- E-Supervision
- Face-to-face Supervision

Adele Jones, July 09
Innovation

- Addressed social isolation and facilitated integration into the UK.
- Recognised, celebrated and utilised diverse skills and cultural backgrounds through peer coaching and learning.
- Promoted cultural awareness among home PhD students in preparation for life and work in global markets.
- Established a flexible model for distance learning and e-supervision for international students enabling them to remain in their home countries for significant periods.
- A new approach to the recruitment, retention and support of international PhD students, enhancing the student experience and building research capacity in the social sciences in developing countries.
Skills Training & Social Cohesion activities

A 12-month programme organised in three x 3-week blocks to coincide with key PhD milestones:

- Block one – Oct (registration and proposal preparation)
- Monthly Skype-supervision and Peer-led Blackboard Discussion
- Block two – June (data capture, analysis & ethical approval)
- Block three – Oct (progression & publication)
Skills Training - content:

- Induction
- Cross cultural perspectives and issues
- Research paradigms & research theory
- Skills for critically evaluating research methodologies
- Research ethics in different cultural contexts
- Quantitative & qualitative research methods
- Proposal writing and research design
- Advanced literature searching/retrieval
- Software for bibliographic record keeping
- Referencing
- Doing a literature review
Training continued

- Principles of and types of sampling
- Data gathering
- Qualitative and quantitative data analysis
- SPSS
- NVivo
- Issues and challenges from real life research
- Ethical governance and approvals
- Writing for publication
- Presentation skills
- Viva defence
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- Jean York
Supervision & support

- Face-to-face supervision
- Peer coaching – Blackboard
- E-supervision – Skype
- *Local Advisors* from partner institutions for students based in home countries (e.g. University of Kwazulu Natal, University of The West Indies, University of Makarere)
Students - ten international students participated

- Uganda
- South Africa
- Barbados
- The Republic of Trinidad and Tobago
- Nepal
- Nigeria
- Indonesia
- Pakistan
- Taiwan
Evaluation

Evaluation process:
- Formal
- Informal
- Process
- Outcome

Evaluation carried out by Jean York, Sue Hanson, Alison Holmes and Kirsty Thomson
Increasing Global Relevance

- HIV-AIDS and street children in Nepal
- HIV positive young people in South Africa
- Polygamous marriage and HIV transmission in Nigeria
- Mother-to-child transmission of HIV in Trinidad
- Reintegration of abducted girl soldiers in Uganda
- Grandparent care of orphans in Uganda
- Electronic systems for health care delivery
- Child protection decision making in Barbados
- Parenting and mental illness in Taiwan
Outcomes

- Improved skills
- Development of a research-enabling environment
- Decreased social isolation and integration into UK society
- Increased cultural awareness for home students
- Improved progression in line with projected timescales
- Increased research outputs among international students (papers at conferences, published articles)
- Increase in student numbers
- Provision of flexible learning opportunities
- Support for developing countries in building expertise in childhood studies and/or health and social care
- Partnerships with overseas institutions
Reflections and Lessons Learned

1. International students enrich environment for all
2. With effective support and training, numbers are increased and students’ learning experience enhanced
3. The modular approach to skills training is particularly suited to international students but would benefit home students too
4. Anticipated benefits - improvements in PhD progression and completion rates - increased research outputs (e.g. publications and conference presentations)
5. Increased research expertise in developing countries
6. Students benefitted not only in relation to their immediate goals but also gained skills they would be able to use as Post-docs
7. Universities should view the recruitment of international students as investing in global knowledge development and international partnerships rather than simply as means of generating income.

8. Recruitment strategies should take into account financial disparities.

9. Despite meeting language entry criteria, providing subsequent language needs assessment and language skills support, students who lack adequate English language skills face particular challenges in meeting the requirements of PhD study.

10. Students were overwhelmingly positive about the project and strongly believe the skills training should continue - for all students.
Some comments from the students

“Excellent teacher - engaging with students”
“Well-prepared, strong connections with students”
“The reality of the research - REAL!”
“Useful information - needs to be more in-depth”
“Helped me to understand how to enter the field”
“Sharing and learning from others makes such a difference”
“Very complex, needed more time”
“Helpful for time management and planning”
“Stimulated ideas”
“Session built on the previous session very effectively”
“Learning from students - an excellent idea!”
“Excellent session, practical examples, good theoretical explanations”
“Good session but links between policy and research not very clear”
“Good discussion and reflection”
“Everything was useful”
“Elucidated and clarified the use of interviews and focus groups”
“Sharing and peer support is fantastic”
“Everyone is really helpful and supportive”
“Excellent on giving the bigger picture”